

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT.

Public Servants –Transport Department–Disproportionate Assets(D.A.) Case registered under the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 against Sri B.Venkata Murali Krishna, Motor Vehicles Inspector, Gannavaram, Krishna District-Director-General, Anti-Corruption Bureau's Final Report in the Disproportionate Assets Case furnished-Departmental Action Recommended-Representation submitted by Sri B.Venkata Murali Krishna, Motor Vehicle Inspector-Case finalized -Further Action dropped against Sri B.Venkata Murali Krishna, Motor Vehicle Inspector -Orders-Issued.

TRANSPORT, ROADS & BUILDINGS(VIG.TR.)DEPARTMENT

G.O.Ms.No.27

Dated:27.06.2017.

Read the following:

- 1)From the Dy. Supdt. of Police, ACB, Eluru, Eluru Range, Radio Messages Dated:19.06.2014 and 17.07.2014 in CR.No.11/RCA-EWG/ 2014.
- 2)Proceedings of Transport Commissioner, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad R.No.5721/V2/2014, Dated 04.08.2014.
- 3)Orders of Hon'ble High Court, Hyderabad Dated:01.06.2015 in W.P.No.7618/2015 filed by Sri B. Venkata Murali Krishna, MVI.
- 4)G.O.Rt.No.508, T,R&B(VIG.TR.)Deptt., Dated.05.10.2015.
- 5)From the Director General, Anti-Corruption Bureau, Hyderabad, Lr.No.145/RCA-EWG/2014, Dated:02.05.2016.
- 6)G.O.Ms.No.28, TR&B(VIG.TR.1)Department, Dated:09.06.2016.
- 7)From the Director General, Anti-Corruption Bureau, Hyderabad, Lr.No.145/RCA-EWG/2014, Dated:25.10.2016.
- 8)From Sri B.Venkata Murali Krishna, MVI, O/o Deputy Transport Commissioner, Guntur, Representations Dated:03.11.2016 & 14.11.2016.

>>>>>>

ORDER:

In the reference 1st read above, the Dy. Supdt. of Police, Anti-Corruption Bureau, Eluru, Eluru Range has informed that on credible information that Sri B.Venkata Murali Krishna, Motor Vehicle Inspector, Gannavaram, Krishna District was in possession of Assets which were disproportionate to the known sources of his income, and they registered a Case in Cr.No.11/RCA-EWG/2014, Dated:18.06.2014 u/s 13(2) r/w 13(1)(e) of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 and investigated into, that simultaneous searches were conducted on 18.06.2014 onwards and on 17.07.2014, the Accused Officer was arrested at his residence and was produced before the Hon'ble Spl.Judge for SPE & ACB Cases, Vijayawada on 17.07.2014 and he was remanded to Judicial Custody upto 31.07.2014 and, therefore, the Transport Commissioner was requested to place the Accused Officer under suspension under Rule 8(1) (c) of A.P.Civil Services(Classification, Control & Appeal)Rules, 1991.

2. In the Proceedings 2nd read above, the Transport Commissioner, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad has issued Orders placing Sri B.Venkata Murali Krishna, Motor Vehicles Inspector, Gannavaram, Krishna District, under suspension w.e.f.17.07.2014, under Rule 8(1)(c) of A.P.Civil Services(Classification, Control & Appeal)Rules, 1991 for his involvement in a case of Moral Turpitude.

3. Aggrieved by the Orders of suspension issued by the Transport Commissioner, A.P. in the reference 2nd read above, Sri B.Venkata Murali Krishna, Motor Vehicle Inspector(u/s) approached the A.P.Administrative Tribunal and the Tribunal, in it's Final Orders Dated:26.02.2015 in O.A.No. 7241/2014, dismissed the above O.A.

4. Assailing the Tribunal's abovesaid Orders Dated:26.02.2015 in O.A.No.7241/2014 w.r.t. non-revocation of his suspension and non-reinstatement into service, Sri B.Venkata Murali Krishna, Motor Vehicle Inspector(u/s), has approached the High Court of A.P. in W.P.No.7618/2015 and the Hon'ble High Court Of Judicature of A.P. & Telangana, in its Orders Dated:01.06.2015 in the reference 3rd read above, has observed that in the Review Meeting held by the Government on 26.02.2015, it was decided that the suspension would be reviewed after 3 Months, i.e, after 26.05.2015, directed the Respondents to review the order of suspension and communicate their decision to the Petitioner at the earliest, in any event not later than one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this Order, and with the said directions, disposed off the Writ Petition.

::2::

5. In pursuance of the Orders of Hon'ble A.P.High Court in the reference 3rd read above and the Representation Dated:25.06.2015 submitted by Sri B.Venkata Murali Krishna, Motor Vehicle Inspector, Govt. have reviewed the Orders of suspension of Sri B.Venkata Murali Krishna, Motor Vehicle Inspector, in the Meeting held on 07.09.2015 with a Representative of Anti Corruption Bureau and the Transport Commissioner, as per the G.O.Ms.No.86, Genl.Admn.(SER.C)Deptt., Dated:08.03.1994, and decided to reinstate him into service and post him to a far off place in a non-focal post, subject to outcome of the Disciplinary/Criminal Proceedings pending against him, and accordingly, Government have issued Orders in the reference 4th read above Reinstating Sri B.Venkaata Murali Krishna, Motor Vehicles Inspector, into service with immediate effect, subject to outcome of the Disciplinary/Criminal Proceedings pending against him and to post him as Motor Vehicles Inspector to a far-off place in a non-focal post.

6. In the reference 5th read above, the Director-General, Anti-Corruption Bureau, A.P., Hyderabad has submitted proposals, seeking Authorization for Attachment of Properties worth Rs.75,02,468/- in the name of Accused Officer, Sri B.Venkata Murali Krishna, Motor Vehicle Inspector, and his family members and kith. After examining the proposals, Government have issued Authorization to the Investigating Officer, Anti-Corruption Bureau to file an Application in the Spl. Court for SPE & ACB Cases, Vijayawada, for Attachment of the Properties of Sri B.Venkata Murali Krishna, Motor Vehicle Inspector, worth Rs.75,02,468/-, vide reference 6th read above.

7. In the reference 7th read above, the Director-General, Anti-Corruption Bureau, A.P., Hyderabad has submitted his Final Report in the above Disproportionate Assets Case registered against Sri B.Venkata Murali Krishna, Motor Vehicle Inspector, inter alia, conclusively stating that the above named Accused Officer was found in possession of Assets disproportionate to his known sources of Income during the Check Period from 09.03.1994 to 18.06.2014 as mentioned below:

SAVINGS OF THE A.O.:

Sl. No	Description of the Item	Amount In Rs.
1.	Total Income of the A.O.	Rs.97,16,415
2.	Total Expenditure of the A.O. and Family Mmembers	Rs.34,26,800
3.	Savings of the A.O.	Rs.62,89,615

CALCULATION OF DISPROPORTIONATE ASSETS:

Sl. No	Description of the Item	Amount In Rs.
1.	Total Assets Acquired by the A.O.	Rs.85,50,150
2.	Likely Savings of the A.O. (-)	Rs.62,89,615
3.	Disproportionate Assets of the A.O.	Rs.22,60,535

8. As seen from the above, the Accused Officer was found in possession of Assets disproportionate to the known sources of Income to a tune of Rs.22,60,535/-.

9. In view of the aforesaid Oral and Documentary Evidences, it is evident that the Accused Officer, as on 18.06.2014, was in possession of Disproportionate Assets to the known sources of Income to the tune of **Rs.22,60,535/-** which is **23.26%** of the Total Income of the Accused Officer. However, the Disproportionate Assets is marginal. As such, the Director-General, Anti-Corruption Bureau, A.P., has recommended to initiate Departmental Action against the Accused Officer as he is in possession of Assets Disproportionate to the known sources of Income in violation of 3(1) & (2) of A.P.Civil Services(Conduct)Rules, 1964. The Director-General, Anti-Corruption Bureau, A.P., in his Final Report in the reference 8th read above, has, therefore, requested the Government that Order for initiating Departmental Action against the A.O. for violation of Rule 3(1) & (2) of A.P. Civil Services(Conduct)Rules, 1964 may be issued at an early date.

10. In the reference 8th read above, the Accused Officer, Sri B.Venkata Murali Krishna, Motor Vehicle Inspector, O/o Dy. Tr.Commr., Guntur has submitted Representations Dated:03.11.2016 and 14.11.2016, explaining the facts of the case as follows:

that he was appointed as Assistant Motor Vehicles Inspector during the year 1994 and was promoted as Motor Vehicles Inspector during the year 2007 and continuing as such.,

that he has been submitting Annual Property Returns(APRs) regularly with required particulars and followed the procedure contemplated in A.P.Civil Services(Conduct) Rules, 1964 for acquiring/disposing Properties and he maintained unblemished record of service throughout.,

Be that as it may, the Anti-Corruption Bureau registered a case against him in Cr.No11/ ACB-RCA-EWG/2014 u/s 13(2) r/w 13(1)(e) of PC Act, 1988 of ACB, Eluru Range for alleged possession of Disproportionate Assets and during Searches, seized Documents relating to, among others, our Income from licit and declared sources.,

that in their Lr. Dated:31.03.2015, the Investigating Officer of the Bureau served a Notice calling for his Explanation. As seen from the Notice their Income from several licit and declared sources was either sliced down or brushed aside.,

that on 05.06.2015, he submitted Detailed Explanation with Supporting Documentary Evidence explaining that the value of their Assets is within their Income from declared sources.,

However, he understood that the Agency had not considered the Legal Income received from the following sources, without valid reasons, even though there are ample Documentary Evidences.,

1	Cash Gifts received by myself and by my wife on different occasions as submitted below	Rs.12,00,000/-
2	Sale Proceedings of Gold Ornaments	Rs.1,51,700/-
3	Interest and Dividends derived on Investments	Rs.2,92,145/-
	Total	Rs.16,43,845/-

1. Cash Gifts:

a) B.Satyanarayana- Rs.2,00,000/- + Rs.1,00,000/-

Sri B.Satyanarayana is his Father. He is financially resourceful enough. At the time of purchase of Residential House(Sl.No.3 of Annexure-I), his Father gave him Cash Gift of **Rs.2,00,000/-** and these particulars were reflected in his **APRs-2007**. Further at the time of purchase of the Flat(Sl.No.1 of Annexure-I), his Father gave him **Cash Gift of Rs.1,00,000/- through Cheque**. He mentioned the above particulars in his Letter while seeking permission for purchase of the said Flat. The said amount was received through Cheque and the same was credited to his Account with Andhra Bank, Vijayawada.

Therefore, the said amounts of **Rs.3,00,000/-** may be considered as his Income.

b) Sri Paluri Bhaskar Rao- Rs.1,00,000/-

Sri Paluri Bhaskar Rao is his Father-in-law. He is financially resourceful enough. At the time of purchase of the Flat(Sl.No.1 of Annexure-I), his Father-in-law gave him Cash Gift of Rs.1,00,000/- to his Wife through Cheque. He mentioned the above particulars in his Letter while seeking permission for purchase of the said Flat. The said amount was received through Cheque and the same was credited to his Wife's Account with Andhra Bank, Vijayawada.

Therefore, the said amount of **Rs.1,00,000/-** may be considered as his Income.

c) Smt. P.Baby Sarojini-Rs.1,00,000/- + 1,00,000/-

Smt. Paluri Baby Sarojini is his Mother-in-law. She is financially resourceful enough. At the time of purchase of Residential House(Sl.No.3 of Annexure-I), his Mother-in-law gave him **Cash Gift of Rs.1,00,000/-** and these particulars were reflected in his **Annual Property Returns-2007**. Further, at the time of purchase of the Flat(Sl.No.1 of Annexure-I), his Mother-in-law gave him **Cash Gift of Rs.1,00,000/- through Cheque**. He mentioned the above particulars in his Letter while seeking permission for purchase of the said Flat. The said amount was paid through Cheque and the same was credited to his Wife's Account with Andhra Bank, Vijayawada.

Therefore, the said amount of **Rs.2,00,000/-** may be considered as his Income.

d) Sri Paluri Jaya Yesu Babu-Rs.3,00,000/-

Sri Paluri Jaya Yesubabu is his Brother-in-law, i.e., brother of his Wife. He is working as Senior Software Engineer in C.G.I., Bangalore. At the time of purchase of the Flat(Sl.No.1 of Annexure-I), his Brother-in-law gave to his Wife Cash Gift of

Rs.3,00,000/- through Cheque and the same was credited to his Wife's Bank Account with Andhra Bank, Vijayawada. These particulars were reflected in his Letter seeking permission from the Competent Authority for purchase of the Flat.

Therefore the amount of **Rs.3,00,000/-** may be considered as his Income.

e) Cash Gifts received by his Wife from her Father-Rs.2,00,000/-

His Wife's Brother, Sri P.Yesu Babu, was got married on 28.02.2008. On that occasion, his Father-in-law wanted to give **Cash Gift of Rs.2,00,000/-** to his Wife. As such, in his Letter Dt:21.02.2008, he intimated the above particulars to the Competent Authority. The above Transaction was permitted by the Transport Commissioner, A.P., Hyderabad vide **Memo No.1547/V4/2008, Dt:09.04.2009.**

All the above persons reflected the above Transactions in their respective Income Tax Returns.

f) Cash Gifts received by his Wife from her Grand Father- Rs.1,00,000/-

His Wife's Grand Father, **Sri Nimmala Surya Rao**, is well known **Land Lord** residing at Adavikolanu of W.G. District. At the time of purchase of Residential House(Sl.No.3 of Annexure-I), his Wife's Grand Father gave her Cash Gift of Rs.1,00,000/- out of love and affection towards his Grand Daughter. These particulars were already reflected in his **Annual Property Returns-2007.**

Therefore the amount of **Rs.1,00,000/-** may be considered as his Income.

It is submitted that he has already obtained Permissions from the Competent Authorities from time to time for the acceptance of the above Gifts referred vide Sl.No.1(a to f) at the time of purchase of Assets.

2) Sale Proceedings of Gold Ornaments- Rs.1,15,700/-

Himself and his Wife disposed of part of their **STHREDHANAM(Gold Ornaments and Others)** at M/s Raj Jewellers of Kakinada for **Rs.1,51,700/- vide Receipts Nos.1393 and 1394, both Dt:29.01.2007**, i.e., at the time of purchase of Residential House at Kakinada. These particulars were reflected in his **Annual Property Returns(APRs)-2007.**

3) Interest Derived on Investments- Rs.2,92,145/-

- a) An amount of Rs.20,000/- was invested on 16.11.1998 in Kisan Vikas Patra and on maturity an amount of Rs.40,000/- was received. Thus, Dividend of Rs.20,000/- was derived.

The above particulars were reflected in his Annual Property Return(A.P.R.) for the year ending 31.12.2004.

Therefore, an amount of **Rs.20,000/-** may be considered as Income.

- b) Money was invested under MIS at Head Post Office, Kakinada and on maturity derived Interest of Rs.10,775/-.

The above particulars were declared in his Annual Property Return(A.P.R.) for the year ending 31.12.2010.

Therefore, an amount of **Rs.10,775/-** may be considered as Income.

- c) **Rs.300/- per month was invested in Postal Recurring Deposit Scheme from August, 2006 and on maturity Interest of Rs.3,267/- was received.**

The above particulars were reflected in his Annual Property Return(A.P.R.) for the year ending 31.12.2011.

Therefore the said amount of **Rs.3,267/-** may be considered as Income.

- d) An amount of Rs.15,000/- was invested by his Wife and on maturity she received Interest of Rs.2,307/-.

The above particulars were reflected in his Annual Property Return(A.P.R.) for the year ending 31.12.2011.

Therefore the said amount of **Rs.2,307/-** may be considered as Income.

- e) Further, an amount of Rs.1,00,000/- was invested under ICICI Bonds during the year 2011 and during January, 2014 an amount of Rs.29,148.75/- was received. The above particulars were reflected in the respective **Annual Property Returns (APRs)-2014.**

Thus, an amount of Rs.29,148/- was received towards Interest.

f) An amount of Rs.1,00,000/- + 1,00,000/- was invested in F.D.R. during 2012 in Andhra Bank, Labbipet Branch each by him and his Wife. During January, 2014 himself and his Wife each received Rs.1,15,294/- + 1,15,294/-. Thus, himself and his Wife received Interest of Rs.30,588/- towards Interest. These particulars were reflected in respective **Annual Property Returns(APRs)-2014.**

Thus, an amount of **Rs.30,588/-** was received towards Interest.

Thus, Total Amount of Rs.96,085/- accrued to him towards Interest.

g) During the year 2013, the following amounts were received towards Interest:

Year of Investment	Amount Invested	Amount Received on Maturity	Interest Received
2006	10,000	18,654	8,654
2006	20,000	26,015	6,015
2006	10,000	19,320	9,320
2006	20,000	27,080	7,080
2006	10,000	18,654	8,654
2006	10,000	15,739	5,739
2008	15,000	22,695	7,695
2008	15,000	18,029	3,029
2008	20,000	29,918	9,918
2008	20,000	32,409	14,409
2010	35,000	38,892	3,892
2010	40,000	53,064	13,064
2011	1,00,000	1,29,148	29,148
7/11 to 4/13	66,000	79,566	13,566
7/11 to 4/13	1,10,000	1,36,665	26,665
Total			1,66,548

The above particulars were reflected in his Annual Property Return(A.P.R.) for the year ending 31.12.2013.

h) Apart from the above, himself and his Wife received Interest against Savings Bank Accounts against the following Bank Accounts as on the date of Check Period:

Sl.No.	Particulars	Amount
1	SB A/c No.30230833265 of SBH, SPB Branch, Patamata, VJA-Self	7,323/-
2	SB A/c No.034310100010651 of AB, Labbipet Branch, VJA-Self	9,733/-
3	SB A/c No.034310100010767 of AB, Labbipet Branch, VJA-Wife	12,456/-
	Tota; Interest Received On SB A/cs	29,512/-

He, therefore, requests that the Interests and Dividends received by himself and his Wife against Item No.3(a to h), i.e., **Rs.96,085/- + 1,66,548/- + 29,512/- = Rs.2,92,145/-** may be considered as his Income.

4) Item No.2 of Assets(Agrl. Land of Ac.1.89 Guntas):

that, further, Item No.2 of Assets, i.e., Agricultural Land of Ac.1.89 Guntas was purchased by his Father paying the sale consideration of Rs.2,27,000/- out of his sources and got the Property registered on his name vide Doc.No.490/2002.,

that he sought permission from the Competent Authority mentioning the above facts and permission was accorded vide Proceedings in R.C.No.12124/B1/2002, Dated:20.04.2002 of the Dy. Transport Commissioner, Kakinada.,

that he explained the resourcefulness of his Father in his Explanation. He furnished relevant Documentary Evidences regarding the above contentions to the Investigating Officer.,

that, therefore the said amount of **Rs.2,27,000/- cannot be added** to the value of his Assets.,

that had the Agency considered his Legal Income as submitted above, the value of Assets possessed by him is very much within his Income from his licit, verifiable and declared sources and the details of fact of Disproportionate Assets are submitted below for kind perusal and favourable consideration.

ABSTRACT OF DISPROPORTIONATE ASSETS:

1.	Income derived by the Accused Officer during the Check Period from 09.03.1994 to 17.06.2014	Rs.	1,13,60,260/-
2.	Expenditure of the Accused Officer during the Check Period	Rs.	34,72,661/-
3.	Likely Savings of the Accused Officer	Rs.	78,87,599/-
4.	Assets found in possession of the Accused Officer during the Check Period	Rs.	83,23,150/-
5.	Assets Disproportionate to the known sources of Income of Accused Officer(Item 4-3)	Rs.	4,35,551/-

11. Sri B.Venkata Murali Krishna, Motor Vehicle Inspector, O/o Deputy Transport Commissioner, Guntur, in the reference 8th read above, has, therefore, requested to consider his above submissions basing on the evidences mentioned therein against each item and to drop further action against him in this case in the interest of Natural Justice.

12. After due consideration, Government have observed the following on the Representations of the Accused Officer, Sri B.Venkata Murali Krishna, Motor Vehicle Inspector, w.r.t. the rule provisions governing Disproportionate Assets cases:-

1. Sri B.Venkata Murali Krishna, Motor Vehicle Inspector(the Accused Officer), has mentioned amounts of Rs.12,00,000/- obtained by way of Cash Gifts gifted to him on various occasions by his Relatives and also an amount of Rs.2,92,145/- as Interest and Dividends derived on Investments. However, the Accused Officer has not produced any documentary proof in the form of Cheques for the above Cash Gifts received by him and also Receipts for the said Interests/Dividends. Therefore, these two items need not be taken into consideration while computing the Total Income Sources of the Accused Officer.
2. In the Director-General, Anti-Corruption Bureau's Final Report Dated: 25.10.2016, at Item No.2 listed under Assets, viz; ACCUSED OFFICER PURCHASED AGRICULTURAL LAND EXT. AC. 1.89 CENTS IN SURVEY NO.221/B, 221/1C LOCATED AT KASPA, PENTAPADU VIDE REGISTERED DOCUMENT NO. 490/2002 OF SUB-REGISTRAR OFFICE, PENTAPADU IN THE NAME OF ACCUSED OFFICER for Rs.2,27,000/-, it has to be stated here that the Accused Officer obtained necessary permission from the Competent Authority for purchase of the said Agrl. Land in his name to be paid by his Father and the permission was accorded vide R.No.12124/B1/2002, Dated:20.04.2002 of the Deputy Transport Commissioner, Kakinada. This fact was also accepted by the Anti-Corruption Bureau in their Final Report under Item No.2 of Accused Officer's Assets. As such, this amount of Rs.2,27,000/- perhaps need not be added to his Assets.
3. Further, on perusal of material papers on file, it is seen that the Accused Officer has enclosed copies of Receipts Nos.1393 and 1394, both Dt:29.01.2007 for Rs.1,51,700/- obtained by sale of their Gold Ornaments (STREEDHANAM) at M/s Raj Jewellers of Kakinada, and also copy of Annual Property Return (APR) for the year 2007 in which these particulars were mentioned. As seen from the Procdgs. No.1960/B1/2007, Dated:25.01.2007 of the Deputy Transport Commissioner, Kakinada(wherein permission was accorded to the Accused Officer to purchase a House in the name of Accused Officer's Wife), the amount of Rs.1,50,000/- accrued from Sale of Gold Ornaments to purchase the House has been treated as one of the lawful sources of Income of Accused Officer. Thus, this amount has to be added to the lawful sources of Accused Officer's Income, thereby the total value of Accused Officer's Income stands enhanced to Rs.98,68,115/-from Rs.97,16,415/-(as per the Director-General, Anti-Corruption Bureau's Final Report) and the corresponding Total Savings of the Accused Officer will also rise to Rs.64,41,315/- from the existing figure of Rs.62,89,615/-(as stated in the Director-General, Anti-Corruption Bureau's Final Report) and eventually the extent of Disproportion of the Accused Officer's Total Assets will get further reduced to Rs.18,81,835/-from the level of Rs.22,60,535/- as arrived at by the Anti-Corruption Bureau(ACB) Authorities (Rs.22,60,535/- - Rs.2,27,000/- being value of Acs.1.89 cents of Agrl. Land mentioned at Sl.No.2 of Accused Officer's Assets - Rs.1,51,700/- being the sale amount of Gold Ornaments by the Accused Officer).

4. It is also seen that the extent of Disproportionality of the Accused Officer's Assets, conclusively fixed by the Director-General, Anti-Corruption Bureau in his Final Report at Rs.22,60,535/-, which is 23.26% of the Total Income of the Accused Officer. Thus, it is only slightly in excess of 20% margin allowed on Total Income of Govt. Employee, while computing the Disproportionate Assets cases, as per Govt. Memo No. 623/Ser.C/2008-2, Dated:15.10.2008.

13. After factoring in the above items at sub-paras (2) & (3) on para (12) above, the Department provisionally arrived at an assessment that Total Income of Accused Officer & Total Assets of Accused Officer have to be revised as shown hereunder:

	Total Assets of the Accused Officer	Rs.85,50,150.00
	(Less) Value of Acs.1.89 cents of Agrl. Land(at Sl.No.2 of Accused Officer's Assets)	Rs.2,27,000.00
	Revised Asset Value of Accused Officer's Assets	Rs.83,23,150.00

	Total Income of the Accused Officer	Rs.97,16,415.00
	(Add) Sale Value of Gold Ornaments	Rs.1,51,700.00
	Revised Value of Accused Officer's Income	Rs.98,68,115.00

REVISED COMPUTATION OF ACCUSED OFFICER'S DISPROPORTIONATE ASSETS VALUE.

1.	Total Assets Acquired by the Accused Officer	Rs.83,23,150.00
2.	Total Income of the Accused Officer	Rs.98,68,115.00
3.	Total Expenditure of the Accused Officer	Rs.34,26,800.00
4.	Total Savings of the Accused Officer(2 - 3)	Rs.64,41,315.00
5.	Total Value of Disproportionate Assets of Accused Officer(1 - 4)	Rs.18,81,835.00

14. In terms of **Circular Memo No.623/Ser.C/2008-2, Dated:15.10.2008** (wherein **20% margin** is allowed on computation of Income of Accused Officer), the value of Accused Officer's Assets is permitted upto **Rs.19,73,623/-** However, as seen from the Revised Computation of Accused Officer's Disproportionate Assets value, after making allowance for **20% margin**, the value of Accused Officer's Disproportionate Assets works out to **Rs.18,81,835/-**, which will be within the permissible limits, viz., Rs.19,73,623/-.

15. Government, after examining the entire issue, keeping in view the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case, have noted that the Assets of Sri B.Venkata Murali Krishna, Motor Vehicle Inspector, Motor Vehicle Inspector, **which stand at Rs.18,81,835/-**, are found to be within permissible statutory limits(20%) and, therefore, decided to **drop further action against the above named Accused Officer.**

16. Accordingly, Government hereby order to drop further action against Sri B.Venkata Murali Krishna, Motor Vehicle Inspector, O/o Deputy Transport Commissioner, Guntur, in the above Anti-Corruption Bureau(ACB) Disproportionate Assets Case.

17. The Director-General, Anti-Corruption Bureau, A.P., Vijayawada and the Transport Commissioner, A.P., Vijayawada shall take further action in the matter accordingly.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)
SUMITA DAWRA,
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To
The Director-General, Anti-Corruption Bureau, A.P., Vijayawada.
The above named Individual **THROUGH** the Transport Commissioner, A.P., Vijayawada(2 Copies). The Transport Commissioner, is requested to serve a copy of the Govt. Order on the individual and furnish a copy of Dated Acknowledgement to Govt. for record.

Copy to:
1)The Secretary to Vigilance Commissioner, Andhra Pradesh Vigilance Commission, A.P.Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaraavathi, A.P. for information only.
2)SF/SC's.

//FORWARDED:: BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER